Newborn screening for spinal muscular atrophy

Kristina Mercer, MPH, PhD

ORISE Fellow Newborn Screening Translational Research Initiative Newborn Screening and Molecular Biology Branch

> **APHL Newborn Screening Symposium New Orleans, LA, September 13, 2017**



National Center for Environmental Health Division of Laboratory Sciences

## Clinical Features of Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA)

A neuromuscular disease resulting in the progressive degeneration of motor neurons

Symptoms include loss of normal motor function and respiratory failure; can result in death

3 clinical types based on age of onset and severity
 Type I: Birth – 6 mos.
 Type II: 6 mos. – 2 years
 Type III: 18 mos. – 3+ years

## SMA is the leading genetic cause of death among infants

- The birth prevalence of SMA is approx. 1 in 10,000
  Type I (infantile-onset) is the most common form
  The majority of children with Type I SMA do not survive beyond 2 years without effective therapy
- **FDA** approved therapy exists
- SMA has been nominated for inclusion on the Recommended Uniform Screening Panel (RUSP)

## **Genetic characterization of SMA**

Autosomal recessive inheritance

Approximately 96% of SMA cases are caused by mutations in the SMN1 gene

SMN1 encodes for survival of motor neuron (SMN) protein
 Among the SMN1 mutations, most involve the loss of SMN1 exon 7 (on both chromosomes) by deletion or gene conversion

Loss of this gene region results in a non-functional SMN protein
 SMN2, a paralog of SMN1, may moderate the disease severity

 SMN2 can only produce 10% of the SMN protein produced by SMN1

Wirth B., Human Mutation (2000), 15:228-37

## Several different molecular assays have been used to detect SMA

- Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) test
- High Resolution Melting (HRM) analysis
- Multiplex Ligation-Dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA)
- Luminex Genotyping
- DNA sequencing
- Quantitative (qPCR)/ Real-time PCR (RT-PCR)

## Real-time PCR is one method that can be used to screen newborns for SMA

- Real-time PCR allows for high throughput screening
- Most state newborn screening labs are already using this method
  - Labs are equipped with the necessary instrumentation
  - Staff is familiar with procedure
- Reactions can be multiplexed
  - Reduced cost to include a new assay
  - May not require added labor cost to run

## What are some challenges associated with using real-time PCR to screen for SMA?



## Challenge #1: SMN1 has a paralog, the SMN2 gene, which has nearly identical genomic sequence



There are only 5 nucleotide differences between the two genes
 For real-time PCR, it is important to <u>avoid</u> detecting *SMN2* when trying to identify the loss of *SMN1*

## LNA (locked nucleic acid) nucleotides can be used to distinguish single nucleotide differences



LNAs can be incorporated into primers and probes to discern single nucleotide differences between SMN1 and SMN2

This would allow for discriminatory amplification and/or signal detection of SMN1 only

## Initial SMA assay developed at CDC

#### SMN1 (SMN2) Intron 7 Sequence

ttttgtaaaactttatggttt<mark>gtggaaaacaaatgtttttgaacatt</mark>taaaa agttc<mark>agatgttaA(G)aaagttg</mark>aaaggttaatgtaaaacaatcaatatta aagaattttgatgcc<mark>aaaactattagataaaaggttaatctacatccctact</mark>

The loss of *SMN1* intron 7 was detected using a LNA probe (in green)
 LNA substitutions underlined
 The LNA probe was designed to selectively bind *SMN1* by discriminating between the mismatch nucleotides of *SMN1* and *SMN2 SMN1* nucleotide (A) and *SMN2* nucleotide (G)
 Forward and reverse primers (in yellow) will amplify both *SMN1* and *SMN2* sequences

Taylor, J. et al., Clin. Chem, (2015), 61 (2): 412-9

### Challenge #2: Recombination between SMN1 and SMN2 can result in a hybrid genotype



\*Yin-Hsiu C. et al., The Journal of Pediatrics (2017); \*\*Hahnen, E. et al., Am. J. Hum. Genet., (1996), 59: 1057-1065

## **Revised SMA Assay – Part 1**

#### SMN1 (SMN2) Exon 7 Sequence

taagtaaaatgt<mark>cttgtgaaacaaaatgctttttaacatccat</mark>ataaagc tatctatatatagctatctat**G(A)**tctatatagctattttttttaactt cctttattttccttacag<mark>ggtttC(T)agacaa</mark>aatcaaaaagaaggaag gtgctcacattccttaaattaaggagtaagtctgccagcattatgaaagt

We modified the previous assay to target exon 7 and reduce the possibility of false positive or false negative results due to hybrid genotypes

- The LNA probe was designed to selectively bind SMN1 by discriminating between the mismatch nucleotides of SMN1 (C) and SMN2 (T)
- Forward and reverse primers (in yellow) will amplify both SMN1 and SMN2 sequences

## Assay gives *non-specific* amplification <u>some of</u> <u>the time</u> when testing samples derived from SMA patients



The LNA probe designed to recognize SMN1 only can bind the SMN2 amplicon, producing non-specific signal in SMA patient samples

## **Revised SMA Assay – Part 2**

#### SMN1 (SMN2) Exon 7 Sequence

taagtaaaatgt<mark>cttgtgaaacaaaatgctttttaacatccat</mark>ataaagc tatctatatatagctatctat**G(A)**tctatatagctattttttttaactt cctttattttccttacag**ggtt<u>tC</u>(T)<u>agacaa</u>aatcaaaaagaaggaag gtgctcacattccttaaattaagga**gtaagtctgccagcattatgaaagt gaatcttacttttgtaaaactttatggtttgtggaaaacaaatgtttttg aacatttaaaagttcagatgttaA(G)<u>a</u>aagttgaaaggttaatgtaaa acaat

□ We replaced the original, reverse primer with an *SMN1*-specific LNA primer (in blue) to eliminate *SMN2* amplification

## Assay specificity improves by adding LNA primer

Assay Revision Part 1



Non-specific signal from SMN2



Assay Revision Part 2

#### **Technical concern:**

# Assay did not perform as expected in all environments

Possible reasons for reduced assay efficiency:

- Sensitive to DNA extraction method
- Sensitive to type of Taqman master mix
- Sensitive to temperature fluctuations > 1 degree
  Celsius

Further method improvement was needed

# LNA probe was redesigned to make the assay more robust

Factors important in the design of LNA probe for mismatch discrimination:

- Length of the probe
  - short (10-12 nucleotides)
- Location of mismatch in the probe
  - center position within probe
- Modification pattern
  - LNA substitution in triplet at site of mismatch
- Identity of the mismatch
  - pyrimidine (C or T) at mismatch site within probe (discrimination is poor for G-T mismatches)

You, Y. et al., Nucleic Acids Research, (2006), 34(8)

## The Current Assay utilizes an SMN1-specific LNA probe with forward strand sequence



We <u>do not</u> observe any non-specific signal in SMN1 null samples even when challenged with an excess of SMN2 sequence

## This assay can also be multiplexed with primers and probes for RNase P (*RPP30*) and TREC



Cq values for RNase P and TREC are unaffected by the addition of reagents for SMA

## The Current SMA Assay works at a range of temperatures from 60-65 degrees Celsius



- Patient samples are SMA test positive (no SMN1 signal) at temperatures ranging from 60-65 degrees Celsius
- Don't need to worry about variations in instrument temperature affecting the results

## The Current SMA Assay works at a range of temperatures from 60-65 degrees Celsius



There is no observed effect of temperature on the Cq values for RNase P and TREC

May not need to change the temperature of current TREC assay

SMN1 amplification in not negatively affected

## SMA patients are correctly identified from dried blood spots when using the current assay

	Assay Results		Clinical Category
Sample Number	Cq- SMN1 Exon 7	SMN1 Result	SMA Status
1	24.69	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
2	No Cq	Absent	Affected
3	26.43	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
4	No Cq	Absent	Affected
5	No Cq	Absent	Affected
6	25.67	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
7	No Cq	Absent	Affected
8	24.28	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
9	24.23	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
10	No Cq	Absent	Affected
11	24.15	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
12	25.19	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
13	25.21	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
14	28.15	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
15	No Cq	Absent	Affected
16	24.49	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
17	24.78	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
18	No Cq	Absent	Affected
19	26.31	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
20	23.81	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
21	22.99	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
22	No Cq	Absent	Affected
23	No Cq	Absent	Affected
24	22.32	Present	Unaffected/ Carrier
25	No Cq	Absent	Affected
26	No Cq	Absent	Affected
27	23.35	Present	Unaffected/ Non-Carrier
28	23.46	Present	Unaffected/ Non-Carrier

## Key Features of the Current SMA assay

### **Assay Design Elements**

- Targets <u>Exon 7</u> and *not* Intron 7
- Forward Strand LNA Probe provides robust specificity (no background signal from SMN2)

### **Assay Characteristics**

- Multiplex capable: can be used with TREC assay by adding only a few extra reagents; lower cost
- Sensitive: identified 100% of SMA patients with loss of SMN1 exon 7
- Flexible: (1) can be used at temperatures ranging from 60°C -65°C, (2) works using "in situ" method and with DNA extracted from dried blood spots

## **Additional Key Points**

- Both the LNA primer and forward strand (FS) probe improve specificity in detecting loss of SMN1 at exon 7
- Current Assay using FS probe is comparatively more robust and cost effective
- Use of a PCR clamp to suppress SMN2 amplification has also been developed, which can add an additional layer of specificity in a second tier assay for samples that are inconclusive
- Droplet digital PCR can be used to determine copy number of SMN1 and SMN2

CDC can provide consultation and technical support to labs interested in screening for SMA

Pre assay development consultation

Providing sequence for SMA assay primers and probe

Integrating SMA into current TREC assay

Reference materials for assay development and validation

Individual training at CDC

Performing real-time PCR assay
 Preparation of QC materials



## Acknowledgments

CDC scientists:

Francis Lee Golriz Yazdanpanah Sophia Winchester Robert Vogt Han Phan Carla Cuthbert

Taiwan Collaborators:
 Yin-Hsiu Chien
 Shu-Chuan Chiang
 Wuh-Liang Hwu

State lab collaborators: Minnesota Berta Warman, Carrie Wolf New Jersey Alyssa MacMillan Wisconsin Mei Baker, Sean Mochal Massachusetts Anne Comeau, Lan Ji



### Thank you for your attention!

Use of trade names and commercial sources in this presentation is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Division of Laboratory Sciences, National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Public Health Service, or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

#### For more information please contact Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

1600 Clifton Road NE, Atlanta, GA 30333 Telephone: 1-800-CDC-INFO (232-4636)/TTY: 1-888-232-6348 Visit: www.cdc.gov | Contact CDC at: 1-800-CDC-INFO or www.cdc.gov/info

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.



National Center for Environmental Health

**Division of Laboratory Sciences**